

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,395	09/11/2003	Stefan Popescu	P01.0545	5643
26574	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT		SUCHECKI, KRYSTYNA		
6600 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60606-6473		2882	-

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/660,395	POPESCU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Krystyna Suchecki	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0104</u> .	6) Other:	(10 102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/660,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figures 6 and 7 have characters and lines that are 1. not uniformly thick or well defined (poor line quality). See 37 CFR 1.84(1). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,395 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unger (US 2002/0075997).

- Regarding Claim 1, Unger teaches a method for automatically controlling X-ray dosage for producing an image by computed tomography (Paragraph 7 as combined with Paragraph 14). comprising the steps of: using an X-ray tube in a computed tomography apparatus operable with a tube current, obtaining a plurality of reference measurements by irradiating a plurality of different phantoms, with respectively different radiation attenuation, with X-rays from the X-ray tube, to produce a computed tomography image of each phantom (Paragraphs 34-37); for each image of each of said phantoms, setting a tube current of said X-ray tube that produces a predetermined level of noise in the image for that phantom representative of an acceptable image quality (Paragraphs 37-38); and subsequently producing a computed tomography image of an examination subject with said computed tomography apparatus by irradiating the examination subject with X-rays from said X-ray tube, and automatically limiting the tube current of the Xray tube for respective regions of said examination subject exhibiting attenuation comparable to the attenuation of at least one of said phantoms (Paragraphs 30-33), dependent on the reference measurement for said at least one of said phantoms, to obtain a noise level and image quality in the image of said at least one region of the examination subject comparable to the noise level and image quality in the image of that phantom (Paragraphs 24, 38).
- 5. Regarding Claim 2, Unger teaches a method as claimed in claim 1 comprising employing a water phantom as said phantom (Paragraph 34).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,395 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger.
- 8. Regarding Claim 3, Unger teaches a method as claimed in claim 2 comprising storing values for each reference measurement for each phantom in a table for different load currents, and automatically adjusting said tube current with a tube load computer, connected to said X-ray tube and having access to said table (Paragraphs 37-38). Unger also teaches the method as an improvement to CT devices (Paragraphs 7,14) and illustrates that chest "scans" (Paragraph 29) are performed, both of which require a source to move in relation an area to be imaged. A region of an area of interest is used to optimize system parameters for the entire region of interest, at which point the patient is re-imaged (Paragraphs 41-42).
- 9. Unger does not expressly state that the tube current is automatically adjusted while irradiating an examination subject.
- 10. Sones teaches an imaging technique where a source moves in relation to an object area to be imaged (Column 6, line 54-68) wherein the current on an X-ray source is automatically adjusted as the area is irradiated and scanned for the benefit of maintaining a uniform flux at a detector plane to compensate for a patient thickness (Column 7, lines 43-53).
- 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the tube current automatically while irradiating an examination

Application/Control Number: 10/660,395

Art Unit: 2882

Column 7, lines 43-53).

subject in Unger in order to make a complete scan of a patient, encompassing more than one region of interest wherein the tube current is adjusted during scanning for the benefit of maintaining a uniform flux at a detector plane to compensate for a patient thickness (Sones,

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Krystyna Suchecki whose telephone number is (571) 272-2495.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Craig E. Church Primary Examiner

Crong & Church

Page 5